



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/625,889	07/26/2000	Ted Chongpi Lee	LEE 4	8036
7:	590 11/17/2004	EXAMINER		
MOSER, PAT 595 SHREWSI	TTERSON & SHERIDA BURY AVE	LELE, TANMAY S		
SUITE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SHREWSBUR	Y, NJ 07702	•	2684	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/625,889	LEE, TED CHONGPI				
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tanmay S Lele	2684				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 26 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION void abandonment of this application i) a timely filed amendment whi	ON FOR ALLOWAN cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ICE. ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
 1 ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 2 ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		ooo NOTE balawy				
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: see attached response.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	etion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	$S(s)$ a) \boxtimes will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided below)□ will be entered ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	Y					
Claim(s) allowed:		•				
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-15						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
0. ☐ Other:						
			:			
		Tanmay Lele Tele: (703) 305-346	32			

Application/Control Number: 09/625,889

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 26 July 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 1, 5, 9, and 12, Applicant states, "As clearly evident from at least the portions of Lauer cited above, there is absolutely no teaching, suggestion or disclosure in Lauer for 'providing a respective manageable link ...". Note in addition to the cited sections, Lauer's intended invention is to provide the capability to collect network topology, traffic, performance and fault information (column 3, lines 31 –40) and further of receiving data foreign networks (column 10, lines 15 – 35; further note here that such networks can be LEC or international carriers, potentially adjunct or a part of the network not necessarily apart of the originally defined network). Further note that manageable, as defined by Merriam-Webster's 10th Edition, describes something that is capable of being managed (managed defined as to handle or direct with a degree of skill: as to make and keep compliant). Note that Lauer, in the above sections, performs these functions, for example since network topology, traffic, and other network performance and compliance criteria are observed (again for example, column 10, lines 15 –18 where alarm data are monitored). Note further that as traffic is flowing a completed circuit is inherent (column 2, lines 35-56). Hence, Examiner is not persuaded by Applicant's arguments that the reference does not teach or recite the claimed as broadly interpreted.

Additionally regarding claims 5, 9, and 12, limitations not previously recited in the claims are now present.

Art Unit: 2684

Conclusion '

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tanmay S Lele whose telephone number is (703) 305-3462. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 - 6:30 PM Monday – Thursdays and on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay A. Maung can be reached on (703) 308-7745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tanmay S Lele Examiner Art Unit 2684

tsl November 8, 2004 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER